

FOIAb3b

CPYRGHT

● On April 17 Praeger published *The Cuban Invasion*, in which *New York Times* correspondent Tad Szulc and *Washington Post* correspondent Karl E. Meyer indict CIA and the Joint Chiefs of Staff as responsible for the disaster at the Bay of Pigs (*NR* review, May 22). Although the *Times*' Sunday Book Review is normally prompt and generous in allotting space to products of its own stable, five Sundays have gone by and nary a peep about *The Cuban Invasion*. In explanation therefor a northering bird brought us the following information. Both authors are close to Arthur Schlesinger Jr. and Richard Goodwin of the White House staff. They have told various friends that their book was undertaken on White House inspiration and that Messrs. Schlesinger and Goodwin helped them get the Real Dope. The *Times* sent an advance copy on for review to Hal Hendrix, Latin American editor of the *Miami Daily News*. Mr. Hendrix offered first one draft, then another, but both were rejected by the *Times* as too unsympathetic. The *Times* then went shopping for a more friendly critic. Now here is the curiosity in this drab little story: that one faction within the White House promotes a book which (with multiple error and distortion, moreover) slashes into two other (and not the least important) arms of the government (CIA and Joint Chiefs); and that singular institution, the *Times* Sunday Book Review, takes care that the book shall be warmly received.